PhD Student Mid-Term Evaluation - Report Assessment

| PhD Student data |
|---|
| Name and surname |
| |
| Register number |
| |
| The subject of the dissertation |
| |
| Discipline |
| |
| Implementation Doctorate Programme (YES/NO) |
| |
| Academic title, academic degree, name and surname of the supervisor |
| |
| Academic title, academic degree, name and surname of the second supervisor * |
| |
| Academic title, academic degree, name and surname of the assistant supervisor * |
| |

^{*} if applicable

| Progress of research works assessment |
|--|
| (max. 4000 characters including spaces) |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Scientific achievements assessment |
| (max. 2000 characters including spaces) |
| |
| |
| |
| _ |
| PhD student general assessment |
| Proposed final assessment (POSITIVE/NEGATIVE): |
| |
| Argumentation |
| |
| |

Date and signature

I confirm there are no factors which may have affected the objectivity of the assessment

Explanatory notes:

Progress of research works assessment

Address all the categories jointly. The description should provide the PhD student with guidelines on what to change or include in further research.

Scientific achievements assessment

Address all the categories jointly. The description should provide the PhD student with guidelines on what to change or include in further research.

PhD student general assessment

• Proposed final assessment (POSITIVE/NEGATIVE): Choose one type of assessment. The proposed assessment is not final and may be changed upon interviewing the PhD student and clarifying disputable issues.

• Argumentation:

Comment on the proposed final assessment. It is desirable to provide the PhD student with general guidelines on how to improve the quality of their work. The argumentation may be crucial in the case of a negative assessment, as it allows the student to address negative aspects during the interview with the Committee. Strengths and weakness of the current state of the dissertation may also be indicated.